ORIGINAL

1

3

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CO

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

RECEIVED

2 Commissioners

> MARC SPITZER, CHAIRMAN WILLIAM A. MUNDELL

SEP 2 9 2003

7M3 SEP 29 A 11: 30

JEFF HATCH-MILLER MIKE GLEASON

DOCKETED BY

AZ CORP COMMISSION

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

IN THE MATTER OF THE INVESTIGATION INTO OWEST'S CABLE WIRE AND SERVICE TERMINATION POLICIES AND TARIFFS AND

THE POLICIES AND TARIFFS OF OTHER TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS WITH RESPECT TO ACCESS TO MTE/MDU TENANTS. DOCKET NO. T-00000A-02-0280

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On September 5, 2003, Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") Staff filed its Status Report in the above-captioned matter. The Staff Report summarizes how the FCC addressed subloops for Multiunit Premises Access and Network Interface Devices in its Triennial Review Order ("TRO"). Staff recommends that the parties be give 30 days to submit their interpretation of the FCC's rulings regarding access to MTE/MDU tenants in the TRO and to specifically address several questions raised by Cox Arizona Telecom, Inc. ("Cox") as part of its original concerns. Staff further recommends that the parties be given 14 days to respond to the filings and that Staff would then make a final recommendation whether to proceed to hearing on this matter. Finally, Staff recommends that if at any point Cox determines that its concerns have been addressed and notifies the Commission of its decision, the docket should be closed.

On September 10, 2003, AT&T Communications of the Mountain State, Inc and TCG Phoenix (collectively "AT&T") filed a Response to the Staff Report. AT&T takes exception to the proposed procedural recommendations. AT&T believes that since Staff is recommending that the docket be closed if Cox determines that its concerns have been met, Cox should be required to notify the Commission of its concerns before the parties take the time to file initial comments. AT&T proposed that after Cox explains its latest proposal and concerns, parties should have 30 days to respond and then an additional 14 days to file rebuttal comments.

On September 24, 2003, Staff filed a Reply to AT&T's Response. Staff does not object to AT&T's recommended procedure.

Although Cox originally raised the issues that led to opening this docket, the issues raised potentially affect all carriers. A Procedural Conference to discuss the continued need to pursue this docket and the procedural recommendations will assist the efficiency of the process.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that a telephonic Procedural Conference to discuss procedural recommendations in the above-captioned matter shall commence on October 9, 2003 at 10:00 a.m. at the Commission's Tucson office, Room 218, 400 West Congress, Tucson, Arizona. The telephone number is 602 542-9008. Deadlines for responding to the specific questions raised in the Staff Report will be set at the Procedural Conference.

DATED this 211 day of September, 2003.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this 2644 day of September, 2003, to

QWEST Corporation 1801 California Street, #5100 Denver, Colorado 80202

Maureen Arnold U S WEST Communications, Inc. 3033 N. Third Street, Room 1010 Phoenix, Arizona 85012

20

Michael M. Grant GALLAGHER AND KENNEDY 21 2575 East Camelback Road Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225

22

Timothy Berg FENNEMORE CRAIG 23 3003 N. Central Ave., Suite 2600 Phoenix, Arizona 85016

24

Nigel Bates ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE, INC. 4400 NE 77th Avenue 25 Vancouver, Washington 98662

26

27

Jeffrey W. Crockett SNELL & WILMER One Arizona Center Phoenix, Arizona 85004-0001

28

Eric Heath SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS CO L.P. 1850 Gateway Drive, 7th Floor San Mateo, California 94404-2467

Thomas H. Campbell LEWIS & ROCA 40 N. Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Michael W. Patten **ROSHKA HEYMAN & DEWULF** 400 E. Van Buren, Suite 800 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Thomas F. Dixon MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP 707 17th Street, #3900 Denver, Colorado 80202

Richard S. Wolters AT&T & TCG 1875 Lawrence Street, Room 1575 Denver, Colorado 80202

Joan Burke OSBORN MALEDON 2929 N. Central Avenue, 21st Floor P.O. Box 36379 Phoenix, Arizona 85067-6379

Scott S. Wakefield, Chief Counsel 1 RUCO 1110 West Washington, Suite 220 2 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Douglas Hsiao 3 Jim Scheltema Blumenfeld & Cohen 4 1625 Massachusetts Ave. N.W., Suite 300 Washington, DC 20036 5 Diane Bacon, Legislative Director COMMUNICATIONS WORKERS OF AMERICA 5818 North 7th Street, Suite 206 6 Phoenix, Arizona 85014-5811 7 Mark N. Rogers Excell Agent Services, L.L.C. 2175 W. 14th Street 8 Tempe, Arizona 85281 9 Mark P. Trinchero DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 1300 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 2300 10 Portland, Oregon 97201 Jon Loehman 11 Managing Director-Regulatory SBC Telecom, Inc. 12 5800 Northwest Parkway Suite 135, Room 1.S.40 San Antonio, Texas 78249 13 Lyndall Nipps 14 Director, Regulatory Allegiance Telecom, Inc. 845 Camino Sure 15 Palm Springs, California 92262 Harry Pliskin 16 Covad Communications Company 7901 Lowry Boulevard 17 Denver, CO 80230 Al Sterman 18 ARIZONA CONSUMERS COUNCIL 2849 E 8th Street Tucson Arizona 85716 19 **Brian Thomas** 20 TIME WARNER TELECOM, INC. 520 S.W. 6th Avenue, Suite 300 Portland, Oregon 97204 21 Jon Poston 22 ACTS 6733 E. Dale Lane Cave Creek, Arizona 85331-6561 23 Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel Legal Division 24 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street 25 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Ernest G. Johnson, Director 26 **Utilities Division** ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 27 1200 West Washington Street

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

28

Arizona Reporting Service, inc. 2627 North third Street, suite three Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1103

By:

Juanita Gomez

Secretary to Jane Rodda